

# STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Jim Justice Governor BOARD OF REVIEW 1400 Virginia Street Oak Hill, WV 25901 Bill J. Crouch Cabinet Secretary

September 13, 2017



RE: v. WV DHHR
ACTION NO.: 17-BOR-2172

Dear Ms.

Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Kristi Logan State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

Encl: Appellant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

cc: Christine Allen, County DHHR

# WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

Defendant,

v. Action Number: 17-BOR-2172

# WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES,

Movant.

#### DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

## **INTRODUCTION**

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an Administrative Disqualification Hearing for requested by the Movant on July 19, 2017. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources' Common Chapters Manual and Federal Regulations at 7 CFR §273.16. The hearing was convened on August 29, 2017.

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from a request by the Movant for a determination as to whether the Defendant has committed an Intentional Program Violation and should be disqualified from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) for 24 months.

At the hearing, the Movant appeared by Christine Allen, Repayment Investigator. The Defendant failed to appear. The Movant's representative was sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

### **Movant's Exhibits:**

M-1	Hearing Summary
M-2	SNAP Claim Determination Form
M-3	SNAP Issuance History-Disbursement Screen Print
M-4	SNAP Allotment Determination Screen Prints
M-5	Case Members History Screen Print
M-6	Case Comments from July 2016 through March 2017
M-7	Application for Benefits signed October 14, 2016
M-8	Rights and Responsibilities Form signed October 14, 2016
M-9	ADAPT Detailed Case Data Screen Print
M-10	SNAP Payment History Summary

M-11	Electronic Benefit Transfer Transaction (EBT) History from October 2016
	through March 2017
M-12	EBT Transaction Detail Screen Prints
M-13	Benefit Recovery Referral Screen Print
M-14	Suspect Interview Letter dated June 27, 2017
M-15	Electronic Disqualified Recipient System Screen Print
M-16	Decision of State Hearing Officer dated November 18, 2010
M-17	Advance Notice of Administrative Disqualification Hearing Waiver dated July 14,
	2017
M-18	Waiver of Administrative Disqualification Hearing signed July 18, 2017
M-19	West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §1.2(E)
M-20	West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §8.6
M-21	West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §20
M-22	Code of Federal Regulations – 7 CFR §273.16

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence at the hearing, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

- The Movant alleged that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation by receiving SNAP benefits in West Virginia and simultaneously, and requested that a SNAP penalty of 24 months be imposed against her.
- The Defendant was notified of the hearing by scheduling order mailed on July 27, 2017. The Defendant failed to appear for the hearing or provide good cause for her failure to do so. In accordance to 7 CFR §273.16(e)(4)) and West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources' Common Chapters Manual, §740.20, the hearing was held without the Defendant in attendance.
- 3) The Defendant submitted an application for SNAP benefits to the Respondent on October 20, 2016 (Exhibit M-7).
- 4) The Defendant signed the SNAP application and attested that the household was not receiving benefits in another state (Exhibit M-7).
- A phone interview was conducted with the Defendant on November 4, 2016, and SNAP benefits for the household were approved (Exhibit M-6).
- 6) In May 2017, the Movant was notified by an investigator in that the Defendant's household received SNAP benefits in West Virginia.

- 7) The Movant obtained verification that the Defendant, her husband, and their two children received SNAP benefits in from September 2016 through February 2017 (Exhibits M-9 and M-10).
- 8) The EBT transaction history for the Defendant documented that SNAP benefits were spent from both states from November 2016 through February 2017 (Exhibits M-11 and M-12).
- 9) The Movant contended that the Defendant made a false statement on the October 2016 application by denying the receipt of SNAP benefits in another state.
- 10) The result of the false statement was the approval of \$1,314 in SNAP benefits issued from October 2016 through February 2017, the period in which the Defendant's household received benefits in
- 11) The Defendant has a prior Intentional Program Violation (Exhibits M-15 and M-16).

### APPLICABLE POLICY

Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR §273.16, establishes that an individual making a false or misleading statement, or misrepresenting, concealing or withholding facts, violating the Food Stamp Program, or any State statute for the purpose of acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of coupons, authorization cards or reusable documents used as part of an automated benefit delivery system has committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV).

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §20.2(C) defines an IPV and establishes that IPVs include: making false or misleading statements, misrepresentations, concealing or withholding information, and committing any act that violates the Food Stamp Act of 1977, SNAP regulations, or any State statute related to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt, or possession of SNAP benefits. Once an IPV has been established, a disqualification period must be imposed on the Assistance Group (AG) member who committed the violation. Furthermore, IPV claims must be established for trafficking-related offenses. Claims arising from trafficking-related offenses are the value of the trafficking benefits as determined by the individual's admission, adjudication, or documentation that forms the basis of the trafficking determination.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §9.1 sets forth the penalties for individuals found guilty of an IPV as follows: First Offense, twelve (12) month disqualification; Second Offense, twenty-four (24) month disqualification; Third Offense, permanent disqualification.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §8.6 states that an individual may not receive SNAP benefits concurrently in more than one state.

## **DISCUSSION**

Federal regulations define an Intentional Program Violation as making a false or misleading statement, or misrepresenting, concealing or withholding facts related to the acquisition of SNAP benefits.

The Defendant and her family were active SNAP recipients in when the October 2016 application for SNAP benefits was made. The Defendant spent SNAP benefits issued from during the time period that she was receiving SNAP benefits in West Virginia, therefore indicating that the Defendant was aware she had active SNAP cases in both states.

The Defendant made a false statement on the October 2016 SNAP application in denying the receipt of SNAP benefits in another state. The Defendant's actions meet the definition of an Intentional Program Violation.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1) An Intentional Program Violation occurs when an individual makes a false or misleading statement, or misrepresents, conceals or withholds facts related to the acquisition of SNAP benefits.
- 2) The Defendant made a false statement regarding the receipt of SNAP benefits in another state on the October 2016 SNAP application.
- 3) The Movant provided clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation.
- 4) The penalty for a second Intentional Program Violation is disqualification from participation in SNAP for 24 months.

## **DECISION**

It is the finding of the State Hearing Officer that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation. The Defendant will be disqualified from participation in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program for 24 months, effective October 1, 2017.

ENTERED this 13th day of September 2017

Kristi Logan State Hearing Officer